


## Additions and Corrections

**W<sub>6</sub>(H)<sub>5</sub>(O-*i*-Pr)<sub>12</sub>. A Polynuclear Polyhydride Supported Exclusively by Alkoxide Ligands** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 3571–3573]. MALCOLM H. CHISHOLM,\* KEITH S. KRAMER, AND WILLIAM E. STREIB

Page 3571: The title compound and indeed the title are incorrect. The compound is W<sub>6</sub>(H)<sub>5</sub>(O-*i*-Pr)<sub>12</sub>(C-*i*-Pr) having the structure depicted below. Unequivocal evidence for the μ-C-*i*-Pr ligand comes from the product W<sub>6</sub>(H)<sub>5</sub>(O-*i*-Pr-d<sub>7</sub>)<sub>12</sub>(C-*i*-



Pr) formed in the reaction between W<sub>2</sub>(*i*-Bu)<sub>2</sub>(O-*i*-Pr-d<sub>7</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> in hydrocarbon solvents. NMR data for the μ-C-*i*-Pr ligand obtained on a Bruker AM500 at 22 °C in benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>: (i) <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H δ 412.5 (C-*i*-Pr), δ 52.3 (C-*i*-CHMe<sub>2</sub>), δ 35.7 and 32.3 (C-*i*-CHMe<sub>2</sub>); (ii) <sup>1</sup>H δ 7.07 (septet, *J*<sub>HH</sub> = 6.0 Hz, C-*i*-CHMe<sub>2</sub>), δ 1.72 and 1.45 (doublets, *J*<sub>HH</sub> = 6.0 Hz). From the NMR data it is evident that the μ-C-*i*-Pr ligand is not delocalized over the μ-X sites of the M<sub>6</sub>(μ-X)<sub>12</sub>X<sub>6</sub> cluster but rather is unique as depicted by C(15) in the drawing above. The refinement of the atom, formerly assigned O(15) in the incorrectly formulated compound W<sub>6</sub>(H)<sub>5</sub>(O-*i*-Pr)<sub>13</sub>, had a 10*B*<sub>iso</sub> value of 52 which was more than twice as big as any other μ-oxygen atom. Upon refinement as a carbon atom, C(15) in the drawing above, the 10*B*<sub>iso</sub> was reduced to 16.

JA955010S

**Novel Poly(3-alkylthiophene) and Poly(3-alkylthienyl ketone) Syntheses via Organomercurials.** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 3387–3888]. MARK D. MCCLAIN, DOUGLAS A. WHITTINGTON, DEANNA J. MITCHELL, AND M. DAVID CURTIS\*

The column headings of *M*<sub>w</sub> and *M*<sub>n</sub> in eqs 2 and 3 and beneath the structure labeled **5a–c** should be interchanged, and the label **5a–c** should read **5a–e**.

JA955011K